CLIFFORD ALGEBRAS, MODULES, & MORITA THEORY

The objects of interest  

$$\underline{Def}$$
: Given a real vector space V with  
a quadratic form q on V, define the  
Clifford algebra of (V,q) as the IR-algebra  
 $\underline{Cl}(V,q) := T(V)/(vov+q(v))$ 



$$b\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p+q} v_i e_i, \sum_{j=1}^{p+q} w_j e_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{T} v_i w_i - \sum_{i=p+i}^{T} v_i w_i$$

Notatar:

• 
$$Cl_{p,q} := Cl(12^{plq})$$

- $Cl_n := Cl_{n,o}$
- Cl\_n:= Clo, n

A generators & relations presistation  $Q_{p,q} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f_{i,...,}f_{p,} \\ e_{i,...,}e_{q} \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} f_{i}f_{j}+f_{j}f_{i} = -2S_{i,j} \\ e_{i}e_{j}+e_{j}e_{i} = 2S_{i,j} \\ f_{i}e_{j}+e_{j}f_{i} = 0 \end{array} \right\}$ 

Renarks:

- distinct generators anti-commute while the first p square to -1 & the last q square to 1.
- as a vector space,  $Cl_{p,q} \cong \Lambda^{*}R^{p+q_{j}}$ , where he multiplication is "deformed" away from the super-commutative multiplication of the exterior algebra. In fact,  $\Lambda^{*}R^{n} \cong Cl(R^{n}, q=0)$  as algebras.
- Examples:
   ① Clo is an iR-algebra with no generators
   ⇒ Clo ≃ iR.
   ② Cl, is an iR-algebra with one generator

f, that squares to -1 3 Cl\_1: 1 generator e, 2 = 1  $\Rightarrow$   $\mathcal{L}_{-1} \cong \mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2-1) \stackrel{2}{\neq} \mathcal{L}_{1}$  $(e.g. \int -1 \notin Cl_{-1})$ . (4) (l<sub>2</sub>: as a vector space = R{f\_jf\_2,f\_if\_2}  $f_{12} = -1$ ,  $f_{22} = -1$ ,  $(f_1f_2)_z = f_1f_zf_1f_z = -f_1zf_2^z = -1$  $\Rightarrow Cl_2 \cong \mathbb{H}$ .  $(5) (l_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_2(\mathbb{R})$  $f_{1} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 15 an isomorphen of 12-algebras.



Some properties of Sveet

- Cl\_n ≈ Cl\_n \* This relation can be refined
- For  $nm \ge 0$ :  $Ce_n \otimes Ce_n \cong Ce_{n+n}$
- For n,m>0:  $(l_n \otimes (l_{-m} \cong (l_{n,m}))$

- Since the categories above use module objects
   In Steet, objects are TZ/2-gradeal modules & morphisms are grading preserving module maps.
   Usiney Steet instead results in categories allock, Moda, & ModB with similar relations.
- Dre to the above, we can exchange a left  $Cl_n$ -module for a right  $Cl_n$ -module:  $m \cdot \alpha = (-i)^{|\alpha||m|} \alpha \cdot m$

Ex: The isomorphism  $(l_{1,1} \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{R}) \cong \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^{||})$ realizes R'll as a left (li,,-module, or as a Cl,-Cl, bimodule. Note the End & not End.

Morita theory Review the situation for ordinary rings. Det: Let BRing duote the 2-category with rings as objects, bimodules as 1-morphisms, & bimodule maps as 2-morphism. A Morita equivalence between rings R&S 15 an isomorphism R-S in BRing. i.e. A bimodule RMS S.t. J a bimodule SNR with RMQNR ZRR & SNQMSZSS. Clearly, a Morita equivalure induces on equivalence of categories RMod ~ SMod. The converse is also true. Thm: (Morita) If F: Mod ~ , Mod , the I Monta equivalence RMS. <u>Pf sketch</u>: F(RR) is a left S-module. For reR, right mult. Is a morphism  $m_{\mu}: R \rightarrow R$ . Define  $F(R) \mathcal{D}R \quad \forall x \cdot r = F(m_r)(x).$ //

What about in the gradeal case?  
Example: Recall he broaddle 
$$(a_1 R^{1|1} = a_{1,1} R^{1|1} R^{1|1} = A_{1,1} R^{1|1} R^{1$$



What happened? Recall, the construction of the Morita bimodule sF(RR) relied on the fact that right multiplication by rER is a morphism R ~~ R in R Mod. J super algebrei  $a \in A$  odd  $\Rightarrow M_a$  is odd  $\Rightarrow$  ma  $\notin$  Hom (A, A) SO A Mool ~ B Mool 2 A ~ B However, Hom (A, A) contains odd maps, so Thm: If A & B are super algebras, Hu A Mod ~ B Mod <>>> A ~ B Thm: ({Cln | n e Z }, &)/Monita ~ Z/8. This is the <u>super Braver group</u> of R.